Kodanadu Hobli, Yadehalli
Sub-Taluk.

Facts prepared in pursuance of 2nd Councillor's order of 26.1.96.

Subject

Grant of a portion of Survey No: 29 of Nandigaadi Nandigavi Village to one Channanna for coffee cultivation.

On 1st June 1893 one Shanbogue Subbanna applied that about 45 acres of Survey No: 29 of Nandigaadi Village should be given him for coffee cultivation. Survey No: 29 being Gomal land, the villages were asked if they had any objections. They deposed before the Sheikdar on 2-9-93 that they had none. The Sheikdar roughly estimated the extent of the land as 39 acres and 37 guntas. He also remarked that as the land was a dense jungle the (39.0.20.8) the exact extent could only be found out by chain measurement. The Sheikdar submitted a patty, shewing the number of the various trees standing on the land, as follows: —

Teak	Randi	Honne	Beti	Matti
15	39	17	12	43

and other unreserved trees. The exact

do not consider with Channabasi and that there is within the boundaries and measurement in addition of the granted is entitled Whole of the land with his within the above boundaries there are ment cultivation he has already planted a few acres but a large number of plants are ready for transplantation in the nursery. This land must therefore be confirmed to him and the proportionate price of the order

11/2/96

P.F. 70895

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1895-96

192 .

(L. R.)

File No. 139895

Serial Nos. 1-12

Later references.

~~L.R. 1943~~

R 46-31 (or. 1931)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 12

Major **Part B for** May 1896

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Chinnamma, Nandigam Village, Yadiralli Sub-Taluk, regarding the grant of a portion of a certain land.

WD 2222—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Ro

Concurred

Proceed

3SR

number of lots cannot be ascertained as the jungle is too dense. The Shirastdar also remarks ಕಿರುವಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹೇಳಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ—

The Dy. Amildar then submitted his Report No: 159 d/d 21/9/93. The Dy. Comr. wrote to Govt. and obtained their Sanction (R) which was communicated to the Dy. Amildar on 24/4/93 by ~~order No. 200~~ (X). Thereupon proclamations of sale were issued and duly published in Yadehalli, Bale-honnur, Koppa and Tarikere. The auction Sale was held on 19.1.94. Chaunanna offered Rs 405 for the land. This being the highest bid the land was knocked down to Chaunanna. The assessment was fixed at Re 1 per acre and a Muchalika was taken from him. On 30.1.94 some of the people of Tarikere presented a petn. to the Dy. Comr. saying that due publicity was not given to the Sale proclamation, that therefore they were not aware of the Sale, and that the land would have fetched about 1000 Rs. This petn. was referred to the Dy. Amildar. On 14.2.94 the Dy. Amildar reported (X) that the land was sold in public auction, that Chaunanna was the highest bidder, that the Sale proclamation

(R) 8230-31 / R. T. 7-93 of 17.11.93 (illegible)

(X) Order No: 200

(X) No: 411

had been duly published and that
~~the inspection~~ that many Tamil people
were present at the Sale and that
therefore no labour could be attached
to the statements of the complainants.
The A.G.A. by his order of the 15.3.94
& 20.1.94 confirmed the sale. He
also directed that the Tahsildar
does should go and demarcate
the portion granted. Accordingly
Order No: 356 was issued to the Inspec-
tor on 11.3.94. A copy of Govt. order
No: P231-31/7-98 of 17.11.93 was sent to
the survey dept. who report that ⊗
the portion sought is separated
demarcated by the Tahsildar
dar and the maps submitted
to this office. On 13.9.94 the Surveyor
was directed to put the purchaser
in possession of the land, and the pur-
chaser took possession on 4.3.94.

This Chamaun was involved in
a criminal case and imprisoned.
He did not help the Inspector in
pointing out demarcating the
land and so this matter was de-
layed for a long time. In January
1895 the land was measured. Chamaun
Chamaun was informed that the much
extent of the land would be more
than that the roughly estimated extent

⊗ Vide Govt. Order No: 264 of 25.11.93.

✱ Tahsildar Skeidar's report No: 1198 of 8
19.5.94.

Thereupon on 22.1.95 Chamarama
presented a Memorandum consenting
to pay the agreed price at Rs 8 per acre
for the excess land. The Inspector pre-
sented his report No: 28 of 25.2.95 saying
that the area of the land, as measured
by him, was 7-2-8 and not 8-0-0 as
found by the Surveyor and that the
purchaser had consented to pay
the agreed price for the excess land.
The Mr Minister then asked — Mr Hem ⊛ No: 547 of 2.2.95
is it true that the extent as given by you is less
that as given by the Surveyor? Has the
purchaser filled less all over the land?
What excess of trees should have been felled?
Has the purchaser felled trees beyond
the limits (A 22 to 28) shewn by the Surveyor?
The Inspector then submitted a report ✱ No: 89 of 8.3.95
in reply — The purchaser has felled
trees only within the limits (A 22 to 28). The
trees standing on about six acres of the
land have been felled. It is not, however
to say what trees have been felled. The
Mr Minister thereupon asked him on
9.3.95 that he should visit the place
on 20th idem and that the Surveyor,
Inspector, Surveyor and the Lakka-
Vidane should be present at
be present on that date. The Surveyor
Chamarama was examined by the
Mr Minister on 17th April 95.
He deposed that he knew all the
land of [illegible] that lies within the

5

Smith's friend of the Swindler — that
the extent of the land was 79 acres
and upp — that he would pay
the upset price for the same
land — that he had found the lines
or about 40 acres only — and
that he would not till the same
were more distinctly found, pay
any more fees and that if only
40 acres were to be given him, he
would not have any of the 79 acres into
bond except some other piece of
land portion the Swindler
on 8th inst. remitted his upset
No. 495 saying that the exact
extent of the land was 79 acres and
odd — that Chamanna had agreed
to pay the upset price for the
land — that further Chamanna
wanted that these 79 acres should
be given him or that 40 acres
any other piece measuring 40 acres
which he pointed out should be
given him — that the Ranger com-
plained was not that the land should
be reserved for the Forest Dept, but
that there was people for miles
and that the
lumber from the Forest Dept which
Contiguous and were in all cases
of friends for land for cattle owning
The Deputy Conservator ⊗ advised

(D) No 40 of 7.5.95

~~the excess land being taxed in accordance~~
~~not be given at Chamanara for~~
~~the exact price but that as in the~~
~~original~~ forms:- "As the extent
of land applied for is found on survey
to be 79 acres and 8 perches being
3¾ acres and 8 perches in excess of
extent applied for or reasonable the
extra large extent cannot be granted
at the price per acre paid (as per first-
part of said section). But the applicant
will be allowed to select 40 acres
(Nos. shown No: 2) the original applica-
for, as no boundaries were mentioned
in the original document." The Inspec-
dar was then asked to complete the
re-survey in accordance with the
above order of the 4th Comr. and submit
the records.

The Ranger of Ajjikan first wrote a
letter No: 765/918 S.O.O. (not on record)
asking the Inspector to cross the Jadeya
and Shandapur to Silenkan (the Ranger)
the land granted to Chamanna. The
Ranger sent a reminder on 8/1/18. ② No: 919
He also ~~of~~ asked whether any arrangements
had been made to deduct price of
Chamanna's land felled timber beyond
the limits assigned to him and wanted
that the records on this connection
should be sent to him for perusal.

X. No: 635 of 1917-18

No: 391 of 11.1.95

(A)
The Dy Amildar wrote that he had
No: 340 of 6.12.94 (not on record)
sent a reply to the Ranger's first letter
that the land had not been re-surveyed
and bonds put up and that the
sheikdar had made a rough mea-
surement of the land and fixed
boundaries. The Ranger then wrote
No: 1124 of 11.2.95. that the Dy Amildar's No: 346 of 6.2.94
had not been received and also asked
for the records in connection with
the case. The records were with the
Taluk Mojumdar to whom they had
been sent with Order No: 586 of 11.3.94
directing him to re-survey the land. The
No: 500 of 16.2.95 Dy Amildar therefore, (X) wrote orders
him to send a copy of the sheikdar's measure-
ment patty and also the Kaccha
map (if the land had been re-surveyed).
On 25.2.95 the Mojumdar sent the
Kaccha map after re-survey with
his report No: 37 of that date (reply to Order
No: 500 of 16.2.95); and with his Report
No: 38 of the same date (referred to in
above
detail) he sent back all the
records to the Dy Amildar. The Ranger
then wrote his letter No: 1188 of 25.2.95.
I inspected the land. In the village
map procured by the ~~Chandapur~~ patel
a portion has been marked with a pencil
which portion, the patel says, was granted
to Channanna. The extent of this portion
as marked on the map, is about 8 S
acres. I do not think Channanna
will use the land for the purposes
for which he has obtained it. His

object, so far as I can gather from the work now being carried on is to prepare timber and sell it and thus realize profit. The whole land has been completely cleared and is open and is therefore unfit for coffee cultivation. As this land is on all sides surrounded by the District Forest it is necessary that durable land-marks should be raised to separate the two. It appears that this survey No: 29 was kept as forest for the village of Nandigani and Senē/ram Bechirakka). No reference seems to have been made to the Forest department before granting the land. They might seem to have objected when this land was required for extending the Lakkavalli Thanna jungle. There are many teak and Karimatti trees in Survey No: 29. I think that ¼ of Chennamma's land contains teak, ⅛ th, Halasi, ⅜ th, Karimatti, ⅛ th Nandi, Sēte, Yabjaga, Shimē and ⅛ th other unreserved trees. The land granted to Thennamma would, in course of time, have become a flourishing jungle. Trees just beyond the limit of stone fixed by the Mogindar a Yabjaga has been felled. On enquiry I was informed that this was done by Chennamma's men.

It will be seen that the Rangis Chennamma

complaint was long after Channan obtained possession of the land.

This land was not inspected by the Forest Dept before the grant thereof. Even the Deputy Auditor does not seem to have inspected the land before granting it. For the Dy Comr in his letter No: 320 of 2/4 Nov 95 dated 27.11.95 says as follows:— "It is to be much regretted that as the land fell within 50 acres, as found out in the Katha measurement, it appears that the then Dy Auditor Vasudeva Rau did not think it necessary to inspect the same but recommended the grant thereof. This is to be regretted and is one of the carelessness of the Dy Auditor. Had he inspected the land and reported on it, it would undoubtedly have been reserved as it contained a large number of valuable reserved trees &c. This fact was discovered when Channan began to fell and dispose of timber from the said land. After the land is finally demarcated after re-survey care will be taken that no additional land is taken under cultivation."

The following is the Auditor's report about the present condition of this land &c — The number of trees standing on the land at the time of grant is

@ In this connection see Revenue Sales Appendix E paras. 2 and 3.

@ The re-survey therefore has not been completed.

X No: 386 of 24/12/95

X Has been marked above.

given in the Schedule, Lot 17. It cannot be said that these details (ie. about the number of trees) refer to the whole 17 acres and odd. The land shown in the Sketch has been extended beyond the Boundaries. Judgment on the whole un-surveyed portion. There are many more trees of the above classes (mentioned in Schedule) than there are also Jackfruit, Jambu Bintaja and other trees. It is impossible to count the number of trees in jungle. Of the un-surveyed land some 40 or 50 acres have been cleared and of these about 20 or 30 acres contain coffee plants. The remaining portion is in the same state as it was when possession was made over to Chanmuma. A list showing the trees transplanted by Chanmuma is filed herewith. There is also trees lying ripe uncleared from the cleared portion of the land. Some 30 or 40 thousand plants are ago being used for purposes of transplantation

A.S.
20/2/96

X. Licence
16
9-3-95 — for 86 Mati logs
8 3 transported

24
7-4-95 — Mati Belaga — 90 still
do Beams 60 transported
Jambu Beams 30
150

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895 (2)

No. 963

Name of Petitioner. Thimmabhovi

Village. Lakkuvalli

Taluk. Tarikeré

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 12-8-95

Date of Receipt. 30-8-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 1

L.R. 139-95-1

ABSTRACT.

States that he applied for some land for Coffee cultivation in Survey No 92 belonging to the village of Thadaga and that the Amildar accordingly sanctioned the same for Rs 107-8-0; and that subsequently he refused to give it on the plea that there were valuable trees on the land; and that coffee does not grow in places where there are no trees and consequently no shade; and that Govt have decided that since coffee grows in jungles such lands should be

ment for cultivation on
quent of Rs 10 per acre and that-
ch lands have been given
t on the above rate in places
joining the land in question
d that there are no valuable
is on the said land.

Prays that orders may
e issued to the Deputy Comr
for giving out such lands
for Coffee cultivation @ 10 Rupees
ier acre as has been done
the adjoining places.

Rs. 31/8

Deputy Commissioner
for report
(Sd) P.N.K.
29/8/95

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 944

Name of Petitioner. Lydia Rai - [illegible]

Village. Lattiveddi

Taluk. Jaffna

District. Kachcheri.

Date of Arzi. 12 - 8 - 95

Date of Receipt. 30 - 8 - 95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

15

Pet 544 ✓ 82

20-09-95

6-9-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(5)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 963

dated 12th August 95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Shanna Boi of Lukkavalli, Sankire Taluk, complaining that the Deputy Commissioner refused to grant her land S. No. 92 of Hadasa for coffee cultivation

is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District for report.

R. 139-95-3

By order,

draft Holi 3/9

Revenue Secretary

C2

BANGALORE,

3 - 9 - 1895

6

P.D. 577 N

20-8-95

7-8-95

L6

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

(6)

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition Registered No. 964 dated 12-8-95 received at the Dewan's Office from Sidde Bore Contractor residing at Lakkavalli, Tarikere T/C, appealing against the order of the Amildar declining to grant a portion of survey No. 92 for coffee cultivation.

L R 189-95-4

is forwarded, together with its one enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District for a full report of the facts of the case and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

C2

By order,

4-9-95

Dy. Hbli 4.9.

Asst. Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,

4th August 1895.

7.

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

577.

17

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

189

L.R. 182-95-5

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 3669
6 NOV 95
Pet 326
DEWAN'S OFFICE

7

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Uva Division

To

The Revenue Secretary to
the Government of Ceylon

Dated 29th October 95 Camp Lolleliya

Despatched 2nd November 1895

Received 4

Enclosures No. 2 files V.P. & appl. Plans with Encs

General No. 320 / 1895-96
No.

Reporting on the petitions of one Sinnatamby and Siddambaya of Lakkavalli, Lunugala Valley, Appealing against Deputy Commissioner's order declining to grant a portion of Survey No 92 of the Tasawa Village in the Yedehalli Sub Valley.

Redan
6/11/95

Sub. with refce to the orders passed on Reports Nos 963 and 964 of these Reports are viewed as claims 8/11 adv. first respecting the occupation of waste or vacant land belonging to Govt., this would be a council case.

Read 8-11-95

8-11-95

964

11-11-95

Drafted Read 11-11-95

Redraft of Resolution submitted 12/11/95

Dated. Camp, Sollekile. 29th
October 1895.

From,

The Dy Commissioner
Kadur Dist

To,

The Revenue Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your Memoranda Nos Pet/544 dated 3d September 1895 and Pet/577 of 4th idem forwarding for report petitions from one Timma Boyee and Sidda Boyee of Lakvalli, Tarikere Taluk, appealing against my order declining to grant a portion of Survey No 92 of the Tadasa village in the Gedehalli Sub Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:-

1. On 5th February 1894, the Appellants put in darkhasts with the usual deposits (Rs 21½) for certain pieces of lands out of Survey No 92 measuring 242 acres and 8 guntas assigned as village gomal land.

2. In the Kacha measurement

made by the Chittoor the land applied
for were 19 found to be 34.5 acres,
viz. 43.30 acres required by Sinnua Boyi
and 40.35 acres by Sidda Boyi. The villagers
raised no objection towards the darkhast
being complied with.

3. The Malaqur having showed
that these 2 pieces of land lying side by
side in one and the same number con-
tained several valuable kinds of reserved
and unreserved trees, I referred the matter
to the Deputy Conservator of Forests re-
questing him to personally inspect
the lands in question and furnish me
with his opinion regarding the advisa-
bility of giving them out for cultivation.

4. When I had been to Lakkavalli
on inspection work in May last, when
the Deputy Conservator was also there,
I personally ascertained that the lands
in question contained large number of
reserved trees and lying close to the
State forests and I accordingly rejected
the applications. Hence the appeal.

5. Before submitting the requisite

report on the appeal petitions. I took an opportunity of personally inspecting on the 15th instant the lands applied for on my way to Gedehatti for Jamabandi. I found that they contained many valuable timber trees, consisting of Teak, Beté, Nandi, and Karchuttia. The lands are a part of a District Forest, regarding which there is at present a great outcry from the Ryots who state that the District Forests are being curtailed, causing inconvenience to them. The lands in question almost adjoin the State Forest, and it would be extremely undesirable to give them out for cultivation, as it would give room for theft from the State Forests of timber. I think that the Appellants require these lands merely to enable them to sell the valuable timber standing on them.

6. As regards the appellants' allegation that one S.K.K. Chennaiya was granted a piece of land for Coffee cultivation similar to the ones applied for by them, adjoining thereto, I beg to report that this allegation is true, as will be observed from the enclosed sketch in which the

portion marked B, measuring about 39.37 acres out of Survey No 29, Nandigane village and bounded on the North by the land marked A applied for by the Appellant out of No 92 in the Tadana village, was after Government sanction accorded in Proceedings No $\frac{82\&80-31}{R.F.\ 7-93}$ dated 17th November 1893, granted in public auction for Rs 405/- to the said Sakké Channaiya. It is to be much regretted that as this land fell within 50 acres, as found out in the Kacha measurement, it appears that the then Deputy Amildar Vasudeva Row did not think it necessary to inspect the same but recommended the grant thereof. This is to be regretted and is due to the carelessness of the Deputy Amildar. Had he inspected the land and reported on it, it would undoubtedly have been reserved as it contained a large number of valuable reserved trees, &c. This fact was discovered when Channaiya began to fell and dispose of timber from the said land. When the land is finally demarcated after pymash care will be taken that no additional land is taken under occupation.

7. The appeal petitions are herewith returned with their enclosures and the vernacular papers relating to Chamaiyáo and Appellant's darkhastes are submitted for reference and kind return.-

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedt Servt.

H. H. Sparke
Asst Dy Commissioner
Kaira Dt.
"

COURT FEE
REVENUE

PETITION
RECD.
DATE

Encumbrance Certificate

30-8-95

964R

964

26-11-95

27

ఇం-రవాన్లకి
26-11-95

(8)

963 లు

L.R. 138 - 95

కలెక్టరు చిత్తూరు వ్రాయించినది

కొందరి తాలూకు భూములు
కొన్ని చాలాకాలం నుండి పట్టా
లేకుండా అనుభవంలో ఉన్నట్లు
తెలిసినది.

ఎరీధ్యక్షత బోర్డు
ద్వారా క్రమబద్ధీకరించుకోవలసినదిగా
గతంలో పలుమార్లు తెలియజేసినా
ఇంకా కొందరు తమ భూములు
క్రమబద్ధీకరించుకోలేదు
గనుక మరొక్కసారి
ఇదివరలో తెలియజేసినట్లు
ఆ భూములు క్రమబద్ధీకరించుకోవలసినదిగా
తెలియజేయడమైనది.

తెలియజేసిన తరువాత
కూడా దేనినైనా
నిర్లక్ష్యం చేసినట్లు
తెలిసినట్లయితే
ఆ భూములను
తిరిగి స్వాధీనం చేసుకోవడం
జరుగుతుంది.

ఈ విషయమై
తగు చర్యలు తీసుకోగలరు.

By order

(వెంకట్రామిరెడ్డి)
for/Rev. Secretary

22.11.95

26

26-11-95

(9)

L.R. 139-95

1

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

29

1895

(10)

L.R. 134-95-8

From

The Revenue Secretary

To

The Deputy Commr.

Kanara District

Dated 23rd November 1895

No. 4894

P. 1028 Encls 3 & Ret file

His letter No 320 dated the 29th ultimo, reporting on the petitions of Timma boyee and Sidda boyee of Lakkalli in the Jarikere Taluk complaining of the refusal to grant them a portion of Survey No 92 of Tadasa in the Yedehalli Sub Taluk for cultivation

Has the honour to forward herewith for information copies of Endorsement Nos 963-964 dated 23-11-95 issued to the petitioners Timmaboyee and Siddaboyee rejecting their appeals.

2 With reference to the

land granted to Sokke
Encampment referred to in
para 6 of the letter under
reference, the Deputy Commission-
er is requested to be so
good as to state what it's
present condition is, what
trees were on the land when
it was given and what
progress has been made in
cultivating it.

3 The records received
with the above are herewith
returned with a memorandum
showing the discrepancies
noticed on a comparison of
the Jamat with the records.

By order

(Recept) 28/11

for Secretary

BCW

Petn 1746
1769

(General Serial No. 31.)



31

(11)

L.R. 189-95-9

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kanara District.

To

The Revenue Secretary
to the Government of
Mysore

Dated 9
Despatched, } Enquiry No.
Received

Enclosures Number. Report &c.

General No. 4814 } 95-96.
No.

Reporting on the present
condition of Land granted
to Kalle Chennamma in the
Sunderjoni Village in the Goa-
-kerri Sale Deed, and the
Measures taken in enforcing
it.

Petn
15/1/96

Send a Specimen
receipt of Rents
Rs 10-1-95 to Rs
Canara extent No 320
Dy 29-10-95 C.

23-1-96

Let me have
a report as
all enquiries as regards Chennamma's
land - One
thing is a complt
by the Manager
of the Bank
was it upon
complete Mortgage
of the land?

Mad
26.1.96

Rec
17/1
Submit with reference to Rev. Secy.
17/1

Rec
17/1

Rec 27-1-96

Returned with Receipt
21/2

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—19-2-95.—5,602.

Chikinnagadun, 5th January, 1953.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kaduna District.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Nigeria

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of your
Secret Ltr. 4854/P1028 dated 28th November last,
calling for report on the present condition
of land granted to Sokoto Chemanma in
the Mandigani village in the Kedachi
Sub District and the progress that has been
made in cultivating it, I have the
honour to state as follows:—

1. As regards the condition of the
said land and the progress of cultivation
thereof, the Deputy Surveyor of Kedachi
upon inspection reports that about
4 or 5 acres of jungle only it have been
cleared, that plants have been put
down in about 2 or 3 acres out of it,
and that no attempt to cultivate the

remaining, however has as yet been made. He adds that nurseries of about 30 or 40 thousand coffee plants have been made for transplanting purposes.

2. With reference to the query as to what trees were on the said land when it was granted to Chennama, I beg to state that from a rough estimate of trees made by the Sheikdar, they were as noted in the margin - vide list of trees, paper No 4 accompanying the Vernacular proceedings herewith submitted for reference and obliging return

Teak ...	15
Nandi ...	39
Honne ...	17
Bite ...	12
Matti ...	43
	126

Note - The Sheikdar has noted that there are unreserved trees which cannot be counted owing to heaviness of jungle.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant,

Asst Deputy Commissioner

34

1896

No. 3511 (12)

Name of Petitioner, Sonu Ganu Chemanna residing in

Village, Kulgaon

Taluk, Karwar

District, Kanara

Date of Arzi, Nil

Date of Receipt, 7-5-96

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure,

Abstract.

Petitioner states that copies and translations were given to him on 8-3-96 on the usual terms on a rough estimate of 15 acres, that at the survey he was found to contain 20 acres & paid for the rate of 15 acres as in the Kabuliyat & applied for timber in 1895 on his application; that he subsequently applied for another Rahadari to remove the remaining timber, that the Amildar intimated on 3-3-96 that by Commissioner that no Rahadari could be given & the petitioner was directed to wait for orders on the subject, that he is put to much loss for want of Rahadari to remove timber.

Prays for orders to give him the usual Rahadari to remove timber.

N.G.K.
14-4-96

Papers enclosed

Land Revenue
No reference
will be required from
Collector Dy Comm
vide 434 of 9-1-96

28-4-96

Refer Petitioner to
L R 53 7-5-96

35

(13)

Proceedings of the Govt of Coorg

L.R. 137-95-11

Read:—

(1) Letter No 320 dated 29th October 1895 from the Deputy Commr, Kadur District (para 6) stating that a portion (measuring about 39 a. 37 g) of Survey No 29 of Nandigavi, Yedehalli Sub-Taluk, has granted to one Channa[illegible] for coffee cultivation, through the carelessness of the Deputy Amildar, without local inspection; that the land contains a large number of valuable reserved trees, and should have been reserved to Govt; and that when it is finally demarcated after resurvey, care will be taken that no additional land is taken under ~~cultivation~~ occupation.

(2) Letter No 454 dated 9th January

January 1896, from the same Officer, stating, with reference to docket No 4896/P.1028 dated 23rd November 1895, that Channanna has to be cleared about 4 or 5 acres of jungle, planted about 2 or 3 acres, and that he has, in the nursery, about 30 or 40 thousand plants for transplanting; and giving the probable number of teak and other trees in the land at the time it was granted.

361/2 2362 of 1895-96

(3) Petition dated 11th April 1896 from the said Channanna, complaining of the Deputy Conservator having declined to permit the removal of trees felled by him pending the receipt of orders from Govt, and stating that he is willing to pay the usual price in the event, found on actual measurement of the land, of the extent mentioned roughly by

37 by the Shekdar

— 4 —

Order. No 96 2/15/5/96
P.T.70-95 21
Enc: 4 forms

The Government do not think it right to interfere, at this stage with the land granted to Channanna. He is within the accepted boundaries, and the extent of the land originally mentioned, (on a rough measurement) was not a factor, an uncertain material condition of the grant. He is entitled to retain the whole of the land which lies within the accepted boundary. He seems to be an honest cultivator, as he has already planted a few acres. He also has a large number of plants, ready for transplantation, in the nursery. The land granted to him will therefore be confirmed to him; and the proportionate price for the excess land

land recovered from him.

2. The petitions above referred to will be forwarded to the Deputy Commr for disposal.

Redraft: 15-5-96
C/r Secretary.

To The Deputy-Commr
Kadur District, with petitions
Nos 3511, 3612 and 3620.

Draft sd- Kaffel

Sc

(14)

3.07

L.R. 139-ನೇ 12

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

Asst.

Jr. Secretary.

Sir